

Copies of this poster obtained through Quick Response (QR) Code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission from ASCO[®] and the author of this poster

Efficacy and safety of enzalutamide in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer previously treated with abiraterone acetate plus prednisone: A multicenter, single-arm, open-label study

¹The Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK; ²Guy's, King's and St Thomas' Hospitals, London, UK; ³Studienpraxis Urologie, Nürtingen, Germany; ⁴The Christie Hospitals, London, UK; ³Studienpraxis Urologie, Nürtingen, Germany; ⁴The Christie Hospital, Manchester, UK; ⁵Hospital Universitario Ramon y Cajal, Madrid, Spain; ⁶Astellas Pharma, Inc., Northbrook, IL, USA; ⁷Astellas Pharma, Inc., Leiden, the Netherlands; ⁸Medivation, Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, Medivation was acquired by Pfizer, Inc. in September 2016; ⁹AZ Groeninge, Kortrijk, Belgium; ¹⁰Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France

BACKGROUND

- Prostate cancer remains the second most common form of cancer among men worldwide,¹ and the care paradigms of these patients continue to change with the approval of targeted agents such as enzalutamide and abiraterone acetate.^{2,3}
- Enzalutamide, an androgen receptor inhibitor, significantly prolonged overall survival (OS) versus placebo for chemotherapy-naïve men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) and men who had progressed on docetaxel therapy (PREVAIL, NCT01212991 and AFFIRM, NCT00974311, respectively).^{4,5}
- Enzalutamide also significantly prolonged progression-free survival versus bicalutamide in chemotherapy-naïve men with non-metastatic prostate cancer (STRIVE, NCT01664923)⁶ and mCRPC (STRIVE, NCT01664923 and TERRAIN, NCT01288911).6,7
- Abiraterone acetate, a steroidal 17α -hydroxylase/17,20-lyase (CYP17A1) inhibitor, plus prednisone significantly prolonged OS versus prednisone alone for chemotherapy-naïve men (COU-AA-302, NCT00887198)^{8,9} and men who had progressed on docetaxel therapy (COU-AA-301, NCT00638690).¹⁰
- However, a more modest response to abiraterone acetate plus prednisone, including a 3–8% PSA response rate (i.e. \geq 50% PSA decline) was observed in patients with mCRPC who had progressed on docetaxel and enzalutamide.^{11,12}
- Following the publication of these results, the European Medicines Agency requested the developers of enzalutamide to conduct a study to assess the efficacy of enzalutamide in patients who had progressed following abiraterone acetate plus prednisone treatment.
- In response, this post-registration study (NCT02116582) was performed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of enzalutamide treatment in patients with mCRPC following disease progression after at least 24 weeks of treatment with abiraterone acetate plus prednisone.

METHODS

Study design

- This Phase 4, multicenter, open-label, single-arm study of enzalutamide enrolled patients with mCRPC who had progressive disease following prior treatment with abiraterone acetate plus prednisone.
- Patients must have received a minimum of 24 weeks of treatment with abiraterone acetate plus prednisone and discontinued its use for \geq 4 weeks prior to enzalutamide treatment in the study.
- Key inclusion criteria included:
- Histologically confirmed adenocarcinoma of the prostate without neuroendocrine features.
- Serum testosterone of ≤ 1.7 nmol/L (or ≤ 50 ng/dL).
- Progressive metastatic disease defined as PSA rise determined by a minimum of two rising PSA levels with an interval of ≥ 1 week between each assessment. The PSA value at the screening visit was \geq 2 ng/mL.
- Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0 or 1.
- Key exclusion criteria included:
- Previous treatment with ketoconazole, cabazitaxel, or enzalutamide.
- Previous treatment with anti-androgens and/or chemotherapy following discontinuation of abiraterone acetate plus prednisone and prior to the start of enzalutamide on day 1.

– History of seizure or significant cardiovascular disease.

- Patients received enzalutamide 160 mg/day.
- All patients continued ongoing androgen deprivation with luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone analogs for the duration of the study unless they had a bilateral orchiectomy.
- The primary study end point was radiographic progression-free survival (rPFS), defined as the time from the first dose of enzalutamide to the first objective evidence of radiographic disease progression or death from any cause, whichever occurred first.
- Radiological assessments were performed every 12 weeks.
- Bone disease progression was considered when ≥ 2 new lesions were observed, but if progression was first observed at (or before) week 13, a confirmatory scan demonstrating ≥ 2 new additional lesions had to be performed after \geq 6 weeks.
- If progression was first observed after week 13, a confirmatory scan was also performed \geq 6 weeks later, prior to study drug discontinuation, and must have shown persistence of the new lesions.
- If there was unequivocal evidence of bone disease progression after week 13 (i.e. multiple new lesions of uptake were observed), no confirmatory scan was required.
- Soft tissue disease progression was defined by Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors, version 1.1.
- Unconfirmed progression on bone scan was not considered an event.
- Secondary end points included:
- OS; prostate-specific antigen (PSA) response; and time to PSA progression, with PSA progression defined as a \geq 25% increase and an absolute increase of $\ge 2 \mu g/L$ above the nadir, confirmed by a second consecutive value obtained \geq 3 weeks later.
- PSA, soft tissue disease on computed tomography scan or magnetic resonance imaging, and bone disease on radionuclide bone scans data were collected every 12 weeks until the analysis data cut-off point or treatment discontinuation, whichever occurred first. Safety data were also collected throughout the study.

Statistical analyses

- Kaplan-Meier methods were used to descriptively analyze time to event end points (i.e. rPFS, OS, and time to PSA progression). A two-sided 95% confidence interval (CI) for the median time was estimated by using the Brookmeyer and Crowley method. The 25th percentile and the 75th percentile estimates were also provided along with a two-sided 95% CI for the 25th percentile if the median was not reached.
- PSA decline of 50% was analyzed descriptively, and the PSA response rate was calculated along with a two-sided 95% CI using the Clopper-Pearson method based on exact binomial distribution.

RESULTS

Patient disposition and baseline characteristics

- A total of 215 patients were enrolled in this study, of which 214 patients received at least one dose of enzalutamide. The primary reason for treatment discontinuation in the overall population was disease progression (n=140, 65.1%).
- **Table 1** summarizes patient demographics, baseline disease characteristics, and treatment history.

Treatment duration

• The median duration of treatment was 5.7 months for all patients (**Table 2**).

PSA=prostate-specific antigen.

| Table 1. Baseline characteristics | | | | Table 2. Enzalutamide treatment duration | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|------------------------------------|
| | Previous | Chemotherapy- | | | Previous | Chemotherapy- | |
| Characteristic | chemotherapy (n=69) | naïve (n=145) | Total (n=214) | Characteristic | chemotherapy (n=69) | naïve (n=145) | Total (n=214) |
| Demographics | | | | Exposure duration,* months, | 55(04 183) | 59(003 199) | 57(003 199) |
| Race, n (%) | | | | median (minimum, maximum) | 0.0 (0.4, 10.0) | 0.0 (0.00, 10.0) | 0.7 (0.00, 10.0) |
| White | 57 (82.6) | 107 (73.8) | 164 (76.6) | Patients on treatment at: n (%) | | | |
| Black | 0 | 2 (1 4) | 2 (0.9) | 3 months | 45 (65.2) | 109 (75.2) | 154 (72.0) |
| Other | 0 | 2 (11 4) 1 (0 7) | 1 (0 5) | 6 months | 27 (39.1) | 72 (49.7) | 99 (46.3) |
| Not collected* | 12 (17 4) | 25 (24 1) | 47 (22.0) | 9 months | 12 (17.4) | 52 (35.9) | 64 (29.9) |
| A de verte p $(9/)$ | 12 (17.4) | 35 (24.1) | 47 (22.0) | 12 months | 8 (11.6) | 27 (18.6) | 35 (16.4) |
| Age, years, n (%) | 0 (10) | | $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$ | Patients with \geq 1 dose reduction to AE, n (%) | 0 | 3 (2.1) | 3 (1.4) |
| | 9(13) | 15 (10.3) | 24 (11.2) | *Duration of exposure in days is calculated as the number of days between The cut-off date is used as the last date of dosing for patients still on treatm | the first and last date of dos ent by the cut-off date. | sing +1 and then converted to | months. |
| 65-74 | 33 (47.8) | 66 (45.5) | 99 (46.3) | AE=adverse event. | | | |
| ≥75 | 27 (39.1) | 64 (44.1) | 91 (42.5) | rPFS | | | |
| Median (minimum, maximum) | 72.0 (53, 89) | 73.0 (50, 89) | 73.0 (50, 89) | A total of 141 of 214 (65.9%) patients I | nad disease pr | ogression, 101 | (47.2%) with |
| ECOG, n (%), grade | | | | radiographic progression and 40 (18.7 | %) deaths (Fig | jure 1). | |
| 0 | 28 (40.6) | 72 (49.7) | 100 (46.7) | Overall, the median duration of rPFS v | was 8.1 months | s (95% CI 6.1. 8. | 3) and was |
| 1 | 41 (59.4) | 72 (49.7) | 113 (52.8) | similar in chemotherapy-naïve patient | ts and patients | s previously tre | ated with |
| 2 | 0 | 1 (0.7) | 1 (0.5) | chemotherapy before abiraterone ace | etate plus prec | Inisone. | |
| PSA, µg/L, median (minimum, maximum) | 71.1 (3.3, 4189.0) | 52.7 (2.4, 2799.0) | 58.7 (2.4, 4189.0) | | | | |
| Cancer-related disease history | | | | Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier plot of rPFS | | | |
| Prostate cancer duration, years, median (minimum, maximum) | 7.1 (2.3, 22.2) | 6.7 (1.1, 21.7) | 6.9 (1.1, 22.2) | 100 - 90 - | No. of | Consored Median 95 | % CI |
| Duration of prior abi, weeks, median (minimum, maximum) | 60.0 (21.4, 193.1) | 51.6 (22.7, 379.1) | 54.2 (21.4, 379.1) | Sec 80 - 1 Sec 8 | 69 50 (72.5 145 91 (62.8 214 141 (65.9 | Censored Median 95 %) 19 (27.5%) 7.9 5.5 %) 54 (37.2%) 8.1 5.7 9%) 73 (34.1%) 8.1 6.7 | 76 Cl , 10.8 7, 8.3 , 8.3 |
| Time from abi end to study treatment start, days, n (%) | | | | 50 | | | |
| <28 | 1 (1.4) | 3 (2.1) | 4 (1.9) | Previous chemotherapy | | . / | |
| 28–90 | 58 (84.1) | 132 (91.0) | 190 (88.8) | 10 - All patients | · | | |
| 91–180 | 7 (10.1) | 10 (6.9) | 17 (7.9) | $0 \frac{1}{0} \frac{3}{6} \frac{6}{9}$ | 12 15 1 | 8 21 | |
| >180 | 3 (4.3) | 0 | 3 (1.4) | Time, r | nonths | 0 21 | |
| Total Gleason score at initial diagnosis, n (%) | | \mathbf{O} | | Event/cum. events 0/0 17/17 8 Patients at risk 69 43 | 3/25 8/33 9/42 34 22 12 | 6/48 1/49 1/5 4 1 0 | 0 |
| Low $(2-4)$ | 1 (1.4) | 3 (2.1) | 4 (1.9) | No previous chemotherapyEvent/cum. events0/034/3420 | 3/57 22/79 9/88 | 3/91 0/91 0/9 | 1 |
| Niedium $(5-7)$ High $(8-10)$ | 30(43.5) | 74(51.0) | 104 (48.6) 93 (43.5) | Patients at risk 145 101 All patients | 69 42 17 | 8 1 0 | |
| Missing | 6 (8.7) | 7 (4.8) | 13 (6.1) | Event/cum. events0/051/513Patients at risk214144 | 1/82 30/112 18/130 103 64 29 | 9/139 1/140 1/14 12 2 0 | 11 |
| Distant metastasis (M1) at initial diagnosis, n (%) | 21 (30.4) | 33 (22.8) | 54 (25.2) | The number of patients censored equaled the number of patients minus the | number of events. Overall, 7 | 73 out of 214 (34%) patients w | vere censored at data |
| LHRHa initiation or bilateral orchiectomy relative to diagnosis of metastasis, n (%) | _ (()))) | | - · (, | data cut-off. CI=confidence interval; rPFS=radiographic progression-free survival. | ent without fullning radiogr | aphic progression criteria ar | a were allve at the time of |
| Before | 39 (56.5) | 83 (57.2) | 122 (57.0) | Nuorall curvival | | | |
| After | 30 (43.5) | 62 (42.8) | 92 (43.0) | • A total of 60 of 214 (22 20/) patients b | ad diad from a | ny aguag at the | data aut aff |
| Metastasis assessment at screening and cance | er treatment hist | orv | | • A total of 05 of 214 (52.270) patients if (Figure 2) | au uleu holli a | Ily cause at the | uala Cul-OII |
| Metastases, n (%) | | | | | | | |
| No | 0 | 1 (07) | 1 (0 5) | Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier plot of OS | | | |
| Vec | 69 (100) | 1// (99.3) | 212 (99 5) | | | | |
| Notastasas location $p(9/)$ | 09 (100) | 144 (33.3) | 213 (33.3) | 90 - 80 - | | | |
| | | CO(ACO) | 100 (40 F) | 5 7 7 7 | ┙┙┙┑┑┑┑┑┑┑┑ ╺╶┥╴╴┑ ╴╴┥╴╴╶ | | |
| Bone only | 38 (55.1) | 68 (46.9) | 106 (49.5) | - 06 - 1 50 - 50 | ۲۰ – ۵ الله الله الله الله الله الله الله ال | | |
| Soft tissue only | 6 (8.7) | 19 (13.1) | 25 (11.7) | 40 - | No. of | , + ₩1 | |
| Both | 25 (36.2) | 57 (39.3) | 82 (38.3) | a to 30 - 20 - Previous chemotherapy | patients Event | Censored Median 95 %) 38 (55.1%) 18.1 13 | 9 % Cl 3.0, |
| Previous radiation therapy, n (%) | | | | 10 - No previous chemotherapy All patients | ·· 145 38 (26.29 214 69 (32.29 | %) 107 (73.8%) %) 145 (67.8%) 18 | , 3.1, |
| Νο | 24 (34.8) | 56 (38.6) | 80 (37.4) | | 12 15 1 | 8 21 | |
| Yes | 45 (65.2) | 89 (61.4) | 134 (62.6) | Time, mont | hs | | |
| Responsive to abi for metastatic disease [§] , n (%) | | | | Event/cum. events0/02/24Patients at risk69655 | 1/6 5/11 8/19 59 54 40 | 9/28 1/29 2/3 19 9 0 | I |
| No | 31 (44.9) | 45 (31.0) | 76 (35.5) | No previous chemotherapy Event/cum. events 0/0 4/4 9/ | /13 7/20 8/28 | 7/35 3/38 0/3 | 3 |
| Yes | 18 (26.1) | 35 (24.1) | 53 (24.8) | Patients at risk 145 138 1 All patients | 26 117 79 8/19 12/21 16/47 | 43 12 1 16/63 4/67 3/00 | A |
| Unknown | 20 (29.0) | 65 (44.8) | 85 (39.7) | Patients at risk 214 203 1 | 85 171 10/47 | 62 21 1 | , |
| *Not collected due to regulatory reasons; *Response to treatment with abira Criteria in Solid Tumors and/or Prostate Cancer Working Group 2 criteria. | terone acetate plus prednis | sone was defined according | to Response Evaluation | The number of patients censored equaled the number of patients minus the (i.e. alive at cut-off date) and were therefore censored. Thirty of 145 (20.7%) | number of events. Approxin) patients were still on treat | nately two-thirds of patients ment by the cut-off date. | nad no events |

Johann S. de Bono,¹ Simon Chowdhury,² Susan Feyerabend,³ Tony Elliott,⁴ Enrique Grande,⁵ Amal Melhem-Bertrandt,⁶ Benoit Baron,⁷ Mohammad Hirmand,⁸ Patrick Werbrouck,⁹ Karim Fizazi¹⁰





- Median OS time was not reached for the overall population or for chemotherapynaïve patients.
- Median OS time for patients previously treated with chemotherapy before abiraterone acetate plus prednisone was 18.1 months (95% CI 13.0, –).

Rate of \geq 50% decline in PSA from baseline

- Overall, in 181 patients with at least one post-baseline PSA assessment, the unconfirmed PSA response rate was 26.5% (95% CI 20.3, 33.6), with 48 patients having a \geq 50% decrease in PSA from baseline (**Figure 3**).
- The (unconfirmed) response rate was 28.1% (16 out of 57; 95% CI 17.0, 41.5) and 25.8% (32 out of 124; 95% CI 18.4, 34.4) for patients with previous chemotherapy and chemotherapy-naïve patients, respectively.
- This \geq 50% decline in PSA from baseline was confirmed by a subsequent PSA measurement in 35 out of 48 patients.





PSA progression

- PSA progression was observed in 105 patients in the overall population (49.1%) at any time during the study (**Figure 4**).
- The median time to PSA progression was 5.7 months (95% CI 5.6, 5.8) in the overall population (Figure 4).





Safety

- The safety profile was similar in both the chemotherapy-naïve patients and patients with chemotherapy before abiraterone acetate plus prednisone (Table 3).
- The most frequently reported (\geq 5% overall) study drug-related treatmentemergent adverse events were fatigue (26.6%), decreased appetite (12.6%), asthenia (8.9%), nausea (7.9%), and constipation (5.6%). There were no seizures reported.

| Table 3. Treatment-emergent adverse events | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Adverse event, n (%) | Total (n=214) | | | | | |
| Any TEAE | 199 (93.0) | | | | | |
| NCI-CTCAE Grade ≥3 | 93 (43.5) | | | | | |
| Drug-related | 127 (59.3) | | | | | |
| Drug-related NCI-CTCAE Grade ≥3 | 18 (8.4) | | | | | |
| TEAEs with death as an outcome | 19 (8.9) | | | | | |
| SAEs* | 81 (37.9) | | | | | |
| Drug-related ⁺ SAEs* | 8 (3.7) | | | | | |
| TEAEs leading to study drug discontinuation | 70 (32.7) | | | | | |
| Drug-related ⁺ TEAEs leading to study drug discontinuation | 22 (10.3) | | | | | |
| *Included SAEs upgraded by the sponsor based on review of the sponsor's list of always serious terms, if any upgrade was done; [†] Possible or probable, as assessed by the investigator or records where relationship was missing. NCI-CTCAE=National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; SAEs=serious adverse events; TEAEs=treatment-emergent | | | | | | |

STUDY LIMITATIONS

adverse events

- This was a single-arm study design, which is not generally used as confirmation of efficacy. The study was not designed to provide definitive answers regarding treatment sequencing, and further studies would be needed to address treatment sequencing.
- Symptomatic improvement and symptomatic deterioration, measured using patient-related outcomes, were not assessed in this trial.

CONCLUSIONS

- In this study, enzalutamide demonstrated anti-tumor activity in some patients with mCRPC who had previously progressed following at least 24 weeks of treatment with abiraterone acetate plus prednisone:
- rPFS was 8.1 months (95% CI 6.1, 8.3).
- The median OS time was not reached for the overall population.
- PSA response rate was 26.5% (95% CI 20.3, 33.6).
- The median time to PSA progression was 5.7 months (95% CI 5.6, 5.8).
- In these patients who were treated with abiraterone acetate plus prednisone prior to enzalutamide, similar outcomes were observed between chemotherapy-naïve patients and patients who received chemotherapy prior to abiraterone acetate plus prednisone.
- Adverse events reported were consistent with the established safety profile of enzalutamide.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was funded by Astellas Pharma, Inc. and Medivation, Inc., which was acquired by Pfizer, Inc. in September 2016, the co-developers of enzalutamide. Medical writing assistance and editorial support, funded by both sponsor companies, were provided by Charlene Rivera, PhD, and Lauren Smith from Complete HealthVizion.

REFERENCES

1187-1197

- 1. Ferlay J et al. 2014. Available at: http://globocan.iarc.fr.
- Astellas Pharma US, Inc., Medivation, 2012. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fc gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2012/203415lbl.pdf
- Centocor Ortho Biotech Inc. 2012. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2011/202379lbl.pd
- Beer TM et al. N Engl J Med 2014; 371: 424-433.
- 5. Scher HI et al. N Engl J Med 2012; 367:
- 6. Penson DF et al. J Clin Oncol 2016; 34: 2098-2106.
- Shore ND et al. Lancet Oncol 2016; 17: 153-163.
- 8. Rathkopf DE et al. Eur Urol 2014; 66: 815-825.
- Ryan CJ et al. N Engl J Med 2013; 368: 138-148.
- 10. Fizazi K et al. Lancet Oncol 2012; 13: 983-992.
- 11. Loriot Y et al. Ann Oncol 2013; 24: 1807-1812.
- 12. Noonan KL et al. Ann Oncol 2013; 24: 1802-1807.